Anisotropic Defect-Mediated Melting of Two-Dimensional Colloidal Crystals
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The melting transition of anisotropic two-dimensional (2D) crystals is studied in a model system of superparamagnetic colloids. The anisotropy of the induced dipole-dipole interaction is varied by tilting the external magnetic field off the normal to the particle plane. By analyzing the time-dependent Lindemann parameter as well as translational and orientational order we observe a 2D smecticlike phase. The Kosterlitz-Thouless-Halperin-Nelson-Young scenario of isotropic melting is modified: dislocation pairs and dislocations appear with different probabilities depending on their orientation with respect to the in-plane field.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.105702 PACS numbers: 64.70.Dv, 61.25.-f, 61.72.Lk, 82.70.Dd

The solid-liquid phase transition is one of the fundamental topics in condensed matter physics. Its study has received new impulses since phase transitions can be observed on a microscopic level by direct imaging in model systems. This is of particular interest for 2D melting, which can be driven by microscopic defects [1–3]. According to the Kosterlitz-Thouless-Halperin-Nelson-Young theory, melting in 2D is mediated by the dissociation of dislocation pairs into free dislocations resulting in a hexatic phase, which still exhibits quasi-long-range orientational order but only short-range translational order. The unbinding of disclinations leads to a second phase transition into the isotropic liquid state. In addition to the importance of the dimensionality of the system, we stress in this letter that the anisotropy of the particle interaction directly influences the orientation of the defects. This leads to a novel phase, and therefore it is also of crucial importance for the nature of the melting transition.

Two-dimensional systems with isotropic interaction have been studied intensively in experiments [4–7] as well as in computer simulations of hard disks [8], where the existence of the hexatic phase is still under debate [9,10]. However, up to now there have been no experimental results on the influence of an anisotropic interaction on the defects and the melting transition. Theoretical predictions about 2D melting of layers consisting of anisotropic particles have been made by Ostlund and Halperin [11] as well as by Toner and Nelson [12]. Therefore, we studied the melting of 2D centered rectangular crystals—which have been predicted theoretically by Frolovs et al. [13]—using a setup of colloidal particles with magnetic dipole-dipole interaction controlled by an external magnetic field. This system is essentially the same as in [6,7] with the additional option to control the anisotropy of the interaction which is achieved by tilting the field away from the direction perpendicular to the particle plane. For small tilting angles up to 20° we have already reported a two-step melting via a quasihexatic phase similar to melting in the case of isotropic interactions [14]. However, in case of larger tilting angles (23.7° ≤ φ ≤ 25.2°) studied now, we find a highly anisotropic centered rectangular crystal lattice, which, close to the melting transition, shows a strong anisotropy in the orientation of defects resulting in melting only along the direction of the in-plane field into a 2D smecticlike phase.

The experimental system consists of monodisperse polystyrene particles with a diameter of 4.5 μm and a mass density of 1.5 g/cm³ which are confined to the water/air interface of a hanging water droplet by gravity. The particles are superparamagnetic and, therefore, magnetic dipole moments can be induced by applying an external magnetic field H. As shown in [15], the dipole-dipole interaction dominates all other interparticle interactions, which, as a consequence, need not to be taken into account. The anisotropy of the interaction between the particles is controlled by tilting the magnetic field H away from the direction vertical to the sample plane by an angle φ. This leads to a reflection symmetry axis for the pair potential and for the resulting crystal structure that is given by the direction of the in-plane field. While all measurements were carried out at room temperature, the effective system temperature 1/Γ ≅ k_B T/(χH)^2 was controlled by changing the external magnetic field H (see Ref. [14] for an exact definition). χ is the magnetic susceptibility of a particle. The colloids were observed by digital video microscopy, the size of the field of view was 840 × 620 μm² and contained ~2000 particles, while the whole sample cell contained roughly 200 000 particles. After each change of Γ or φ the sample was equilibrated for several hours, before particle coordinates were determined and stored for about an hour. Formation of chainlike structures, beginning roughly at tilting angles φ ≈ 25°, limits the experimentally accessible φ range.

In order to characterize the observed phases, we use the density-density correlation function g_0(∥r - r′∥) = ⟨exp(iG·∥r - r′∥)⟩, the bond-angular correlation function g_b(∥r - r′∥) = ⟨exp(iθ(r) - θ(r′))⟩, as well as the projections of the time-dependent Lindemann parameter.
Figure 1. Particle trajectories during 1 h of measurement.

[6,14] parallel and perpendicular to the in-plane field, respectively: $\gamma_{\parallel}(t) = \langle [\Delta y_j(t) - \Delta y_{j+1}(t)]^2 \rangle / a^2$, $\gamma_{\perp}(t) = \langle [\Delta x_j(t) - \Delta x_{j+1}(t)]^2 \rangle / (af)^2$. $G$ denotes a reciprocal lattice vector, and $\theta(r)$ is the angle between a bond located at $r$ and the $y$ axis. $j$ and $j + 1$ are indices of neighboring particles, $x$ and $y$ are the components of the particle displacement field, $\Delta x(t) = x(t) - x(0)$, and, accordingly, $\Delta y(t) = y(t) - y(0)$. $a$ is the shorter one of the two lattice constants—which points in the direction of the in-plane component of the magnetic field [14]—and $f$ is the lattice anisotropy determined by Fourier transformation of the particle positions [14]. The in-plane component of the magnetic field is pointing in the $y$ direction. In the case of $g_6(r)$, the anisotropy of the lattice influences the orientations of the nearest neighbor bonds. To circumvent this problem, we rescale the particle positions in the $y$ direction in such a way that the anisotropy disappears and the average angle between adjacent bonds in the crystal becomes 60°. Then, the behavior of $g_6(r)$ can be compared directly with that of the isotropic case.

The results reported in this Letter were observed for values of the tilting angle $\varphi$ between 23.7° and 25.2° and for inverse system temperatures $\Gamma$ between 72 and 82. All the data presented in the figures were observed at a tilting angle $\varphi = 24.2°$ and an inverse system temperature $\Gamma = 76$. In the mentioned region we find a phase, which is strongly aligned in columns parallel to the in-plane component of the magnetic field. This is illustrated in Fig. 1, which shows that along the $y$ direction the particles are not confined to a lattice site, whereas perpendicular to the in-plane field the particles are more strongly localized, and, as a consequence, the trajectories are clearly separated from each other. As shown in Fig. 2, the time-dependent Lindemann parameter reflects exactly that behavior. $\gamma_{\parallel}$ (open circles) diverges and, therefore, shows liquidlike behavior, while $\gamma_{\perp}$ (solid circles) clearly approaches a constant value at long times as expected for a solid. For comparison the critical value $\gamma_c = 0.033$ of the Lindemann parameter, which is observed in the case of isotropic interaction, is shown by the horizontal line. The actual critical value for anisotropic interaction may be different. Moreover, the density-density correlation functions for two reciprocal lattice vectors—one parallel to the in-plane component of $H$ and the other one perpendicular—show also unlike behavior, as can be seen in Fig. 3. The behavior of the correlation for $G \perp H$ is for large $r$ compatible with algebraic decay with an exponent
smaller than that at melting in a system with isotropic interaction (shown by the line in Fig. 3). However, the decay for $G \parallel H$ is much faster and is fit better by an exponential than an algebraic decay. The orientational order is long range as can be seen in the bond-angular correlation function shown in Fig. 4.

Our data lead to the conclusion that for $\varphi \geq 23.7^\circ$ the system melts first parallel to the in-plane component of the field into a smecticlike phase with the particles aligning in columns. These columns are oriented parallel to the in-plane component of the magnetic field leading to long-range orientational order. They are well separated from each other, and quasi-long-range translational order is observed perpendicular to these columns. However, along these columns particles can easily move and their trajectories overlap. Consequently the Lindemann parameter in this direction diverges and translational order is short range parallel to the field.

Since melting in 2D is closely connected with the dissociation of dislocation pairs (two 5-fold and two 7-fold coordinated particles) into free dislocations (a 5-fold and a 7-fold coordinated particle) and disclinations (a single 5-fold or 7-fold coordinated particle), we have studied the differences between the defects in the case of isotropic and anisotropic interaction. For this purpose only isolated defects were taken into account that are defined by having 6-fold coordinated neighboring particles only. The orientation of a dislocation is given by the vector joining the 5-fold and the 7-fold coordinated particles (5–7 vector) or, equivalently, by its Burgers vector. Both, 5–7 vector and Burgers vector, correspond to one of the six nearest neighbor directions of the triangular lattice. In Fig. 5(a) the 5–7 vectors of the free dislocations in a measurement with isotropic interaction are plotted, and, as expected, a hexagonal pattern is observed. However, when the interaction is anisotropic [Fig. 5(c)], those free dislocations that are oriented perpendicular to the in-plane magnetic field (i.e., Burgers vector parallel to the axis of symmetry) are clearly more frequent. This is in agreement with 1D melting along the direction of the in-

![FIG. 5. Orientations (5-7 vectors) of isolated dislocations in measurements with isotropic (a) and anisotropic (c) interaction. Each dot represents the orientation of one dislocation. The orientation of the dislocation was characterized by the vector joining the 5-fold and the 7-fold coordinated particle as shown by the arrow in (b). Particles with a 6-fold coordination are represented by dots.](#)

![FIG. 6. Analogous to Fig. 5, but for isolated dislocation pairs. As sketched in (b), the orientation of the dislocation pair was characterized by the vector joining the two 7-fold coordinated particles.](#)
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acting via an anisotropic magnetic dipole-dipole interaction. We find a new smectic phase, which arises from a phase transition similar to type I melting predicted in [11,12] for anisotropic particles. The resulting phase has long-range orientational order, quasi-long-range translational order perpendicular to the axis of symmetry and short-range translational order along this axis. The time-dependent Lindemann parameter shows crystal-like behavior perpendicular to the symmetry axis and is liquidlike parallel to it. This highly anisotropic 
character results from an anisotropic orientation of defects: As predicted in [11,12] we find that the type I dislocation with a Burgers vector parallel to the reflection symmetry axis is favored. Beyond these predictions we observe a fourfold symmetry in the orientation of dislocation pairs since one type of dislocation pair is completely suppressed by the anisotropy.
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