Dynamics of particles and cages in an experimental 2D glass former
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Abstract – We investigate the dynamics of a glass-forming 2D colloidal mixture and show the existence of collective motions of the particles. We introduce a mean square displacement MSD with respect to the nearest neighbors which shows remarkable deviations from the usual MSD quantifying the individual motion of our particles. Combined with the analysis of the self-part of the Van Hove function this indicates a coupled motion of particles with their cage as well as intra-cage hopping processes.

Introduction. – Supercooled fluids near the glass transition exhibit a range of interesting dynamical properties such as non-exponential relaxation functions, two time relaxation of the system or a dramatic increase of the time scale for molecular motion close to the glass transition [1]. Most of these features have been attributed to spatially heterogeneous relaxation [2–5] and cooperative motion of particles [6–9]. For instance confocal microscopy in a colloidal supercooled fluid have evidenced the existence of populations of fast and slow particles, forming clusters of a few tens of fast colloids [10]. Most of these clusters are visible only on the time scale of the order of the α-relaxation.

Further common features of the dynamics of supercooled fluids are the behavior of the self-part of the Van Hove function and the non-Gaussian parameter [7,11–13]. Both of them reflect the non-Brownian character of particle motion and is commonly accepted that the maximum of the non-Gaussian parameter corresponds to a maximum in the heterogeneity of the dynamics. More interesting maybe is the shape of the self-part of the Van Hove function [14] from which more quantitative information can be extracted about the nature of the motion. The existence of two dynamical populations was confirmed from this quantity in numerous systems like granular media, colloidal gels, and Lennard Jones mixtures [9,15,16] and this behavior is also presented as a possible universal feature of glass-forming systems [16]. Intensive studies of dynamical heterogeneities have been performed by simulations, while experimental works in direct space remain quite rare [6,10]. In this paper we present results from a study of a 2D experimental colloidal system which consists of a binary mixture of superparamagnetic particles interacting via a dipole-dipole interaction. This system allows to study the very nature of the glass transition in 2D. In addition some of the local features like geometrical frustration are easier to detect compared to 3D [17–20].

The average glassy dynamics of this system has been studied in [5,21]. Here we focus on the microscopic local features of planar dynamics.

The organization of the paper is as follows. First we will briefly describe the experimental system. Second we will present experimental results and analysis of the dynamics for both the fluid phase and the supercooled phase.

Materials and methods. – The experimental setup is well established and has been described elsewhere [5,22].

The system consists of a suspension of two kinds of spherical superparamagnetic colloidal particles A and B with different diameters ($d_A = 4.5 \mu m$, $d_B = 2.8 \mu m$) and magnetic susceptibilities per particle ($\chi_A \approx 10 \cdot \chi_B$). Due to their high mass density of $\rho_m \approx 1.5 g/cm^3$, particles are confined by gravity to a water-air interface formed by a pending water drop suspended by surface tension in a top sealed cylindrical hole (6 mm diameter, 1 mm
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The parameter $\Gamma$ quantifies the strength of the interaction and is defined by the ratio between average magnetic interaction energy and thermal energy:

$$\Gamma = \frac{\mu_0 H^2 \cdot (\pi \rho)^{3/2}}{k_B T} \cdot (\xi \cdot \chi_B + (1 - \xi) \cdot \chi_A)^2,$$

where $\xi$ denotes the relative number of small particles and $\rho$ is the 2D density.

$$\xi = \frac{N_B}{N_A + N_B}.$$

The set of particles is visualized by video microscopy from below the sample and is recorded by an 8-bit CCD camera. The gray scale image of the particles is then analyzed in situ with a computer. The field of view has a size of about 1 mm$^2$ containing typically 3 $\times$ 10$^3$ particles, whereas the whole sample contains about up to 10$^6$ particles. Standard image processing is performed to get size, number, and positions of the colloids. A computer-controlled syringe driven by a micro-stage controls the volume of the droplet to reach a completely flat surface. To achieve a horizontal interface, the inclination of the whole experimental setup has to be aligned. This inclination is controlled actively by micro-stages with a resolution of $\Delta \alpha \approx 1$ $\mu$rad. After typically several weeks of adjustment and equilibration best equilibrium conditions for long-time stability are achieved. During data acquisition the images are analyzed with a frame rate down to 10 Hz. Trajectories of all particles in the field of view can be recorded over several days providing the whole phase space information. The thermal activated “out of plane” motion of the particles is expected to be in the range of a few tens of nanometer. Thus, the ensemble is considered as ideally two dimensional.

Information on all relevant time and length scales is available, an advantage compared to many other experimental systems. Furthermore, the pair interaction is not only known but can also be directly controlled over a wide range.

**Experimental observations.** – The study of the mean square displacement for this system has been presented earlier [5,21]. Here we only recall the main findings. At $\Gamma = 25$ the system is in a fluid state and the mean square displacement is diffusive at all time for both small and big particles. For $\Gamma = 110$ the system is in an intermediate phase, where the mean square displacement exhibits an inflexion point around $t = 1000$ s. For higher $\Gamma$, e.g. $\Gamma = 338$ and $\Gamma = 390$ the system is in a glass-forming phase and the mean square displacement has 3 clearly distinct regimes. At early times, during the commonly called $\beta$-relaxation, it is diffusive. Then follows a plateau regime where the mean square displacement is almost constant. And finally one observes again an increase of the MSD, commonly called $\alpha$-relaxation.

In order to get a better idea of microscopic dynamics we will address the question of caging of particles by their nearest neighbors. Particles escaping from their cage are often believed to be responsible for the $\alpha$-relaxation in the MSD. Therefore we investigate the displacement of a colloid with respect to the average displacement of its nearest neighbors as a function of time. We define the cage relative MSD as follows:

$$\langle \Delta r_{\text{cage}}^2(t) \rangle = \langle (\vec{r}_i(t) - \vec{r}_i(0)) - (\vec{r}_{\text{cage}}(t) - \vec{r}_{\text{cage}}(0))^2 \rangle,$$

where $\langle \rangle$ is the ensemble average, $\vec{r}_i(t)$ is the position of the particle $i$ at time $t$, and $\vec{r}_{\text{cage}}$ the position of the center of mass of the initially nearest neighbors: $\vec{r}_{\text{cage}} = \frac{1}{N_{nn}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{nn}} (\vec{r}_j(t) - \vec{r}_j(0))$, where $j$ runs over the indices of the nearest neighbors defined by Voronoi tessellation and $N_{nn}$ is the number of nearest neighbors. The cage relative MSD was successfully used in simulations [23] and experiments [24] of crystallizing systems to determine the melting temperature in 2D. For a fluid system the cage relative MSD diverges as a function of time whereas it saturates in the crystalline, arrested state. In fig. 2, we have plotted trajectories of particles for $\Gamma = 338$ within a box of 250 x 180 $\mu$m and also their cage relative trajectories. The trajectories have been plotted for the entire duration of the experiment, i.e. 80000 s, which is close to the $\alpha$-relaxation time for this value of $\Gamma$.

In fig. 2a) the dynamics appears strongly heterogeneous, with zones where trajectories are almost isotropic and some others where they are elongated, forming zones of fast moving particles. Both big and small particles are involved in such clusters. Dynamical heterogeneities are even more visible in the cage relative trajectories and cage

---

**Fig. 1.** (Colour on-line) Super-paramagnetic colloidal particles confined at a water-air interface due to gravity. The curvature of the interface is actively controlled to be completely flat, and the system is considered to be ideally two dimensional. A magnetic field $H$ perpendicular to the interface induces a magnetic moment $\mathbf{M}$ in each bead leading to a repulsive dipolar pair interaction.

---

**Fig. 2.** a) The dynamics appears strongly heterogeneous, with zones where trajectories are almost isotropic and some others where they are elongated, forming zones of fast moving particles. Both big and small particles are involved in such clusters. Dynamical heterogeneities are even more visible in the cage relative trajectories and cage...
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Fig. 2: a) Trajectories of big (in green) and small (in red) particles in the supercooled phase ($\Gamma = 338$) within a box of $250 \times 180 \mu m$ and over a duration of $t = 80000 s$. b) Same as in a) but the trajectories of the particles are calculated relatively to the center of mass of their cage.

Relative trajectories are also more compact. The existence of such compact clusters of fast moving particles is in agreement with what has been found in other systems like 3D colloidal glass [10] or molecular glass formers [25,26]. Presence of a few string-like motion has to be noticed, as in quasi-2D colloidal system [6] or Lennard Jones mixtures [3,9] but they do not represent a significant part of the rearranging clusters.

In fig. 3 we have plotted both, the cage relative MSD and MSD for the fluid phase, $\Gamma = 25$, the intermediate phase, $\Gamma = 110$ and the supercooled case, $\Gamma = 338$ and $\Gamma = 390$. Global drift of the system has been subtracted. For the fluid phase at $\Gamma = 25$, we see that MSD and cage relative MSD are very similar in shape and are very close to each other (with a ratio less than 1.1). Cage relative MSD is slightly larger than MSD, which corresponds to the situation where the motion of neighboring particles is nearly uncorrelated (as expected in the fluid phase) and the center of mass is pushed in the opposite direction if the center particle leaves the cage (producing a kind of counterflow). In the intermediate phase, $\Gamma = 110$, cage relative MSD and classical MSD are quite similar but cage relative MSD is always smaller than MSD. The deviation becomes larger at the inflection point. Similarities in the curves of MSD and cage relative MSD in the intermediate phase indicate that the motion of particles almost corresponds to an individual motion, uncorrelated with the motion of its neighbors, like in the fluid phase. The situation changes when we look at the curves for the supercooled phase at $\Gamma = 338$ and $\Gamma = 390$. For early times curves are similar in shape and value, but very quickly (after 10 s) the two curves start to significantly differ and cage relative MSD remains significantly smaller than MSD. For the longest times MSD is even twice larger than the cage relative MSD. This shows that in the supercooled phase the motion of particle is of two types: firstly, an intra-cage motion which is predominant over timescales of the order of seconds and secondly, a motion of particles with the cage which starts to appear at the end of the $\beta$-relaxation of the MSD and is of the same order than the intra-cage motion.

Fig. 3: Cage relative MSD as a function of time, in red and usual MSD in black, both for $\Gamma = 25$, $\Gamma = 110$, $\Gamma = 338$ and $\Gamma = 390$, with a Log-Log scale.
in the plateau. This significant collective motion of cages is a characteristic point of dynamical heterogeneities in our system for the supercooled phase. In order to analyze more deeply the nature of the motion of individual particles we investigate a quite usual quantity which is the number of particles \(N(r)\) which are at a distance \(r\) from their original position after a given time \(t\). This quantity is expressed for various times as a function of \(r\). In 2 dimensions, \(N(r)\) must be divided by the geometrical factor \(2\pi r\) to correspond to a probability and is called self-part of the Van Hove function. Brownian motion for instance, would give the characteristic Gaussian shape for \(N(r)\). Differences to Gaussian behavior are usually associated to dynamical heterogeneities \[9,16\]. Here we normalize all curves to have a maximum equal to one.

For \(\Gamma = 338\) the situation is different. Although the curve remains close to a Gaussian at short times, deviations from Gaussian start to appear in the plateau regime and later. Usually such deviations from Gaussian behavior are associated with dynamical heterogeneities.

It seems quite natural to calculate what is the equivalent for the self-part of the Van Hove function of the cage relative MSD. This cage relative self-part of the Van Hove function, \(N_{CR}(R)\), is defined by the number of particles at a relative distance \(R\) from its origin in regard to the initial cage of the particle, expressed as a function of \(R\) and normalized as previously. For a particle \(i\) the relative distance \(R_i\) to initial cage is defined as follows:

\[
R_i = |(\vec{r}_i(t) - \vec{r}_i(0)) - \Delta \vec{r}_{cage}^i|,
\]

where \(\Delta \vec{r}_{cage}^i\) is defined by

\[
\frac{1}{N_{nn}} \sum_j N_{nn} (\vec{r}_j(t) - \vec{r}_j(0)).
\]

Here, \(j\) runs over nearest neighbors, \(N_{nn}\) the number of nearest neighbors, and \(\vec{r}(t)\) the position of a particle at time \(t\). Like for the self-part of the Van Hove function we have normalized all curves to have a maximum equal to one. In fig. 5 we have plotted \(N_{CR}(R)\) for the intermediate case (\(\Gamma = 110\)) and the supercooled case (\(\Gamma = 338\)) for big particles. All these quantities are averaged over 10 successive starting times. For \(\Gamma = 110\), the curves are very similar in shape to those of \(N(r)\). Differences come only from the fact that the distribution is narrower, which corresponds to the fluid-like behavior of the cage relative MSD.

For \(\Gamma = 338\), the situation is completely different. Before the plateau regime the curves look Gaussian in shape, but
their behavior changes at the beginning of the plateau ($t \approx 100\, s$) when deviation from Gaussian behavior occurs: the central part seems to remain mainly unchanged but a tail starts to appear. For large enough times the tail becomes exponential, as indicated by the linear fit in Lin-Log scale.

Compared with results from classical self part of the Van Hove function other systems, the behavior of its cage relative version now matches what is observed usually in 3D systems. In ref. [16], Chaudhuri et al. describe the exponential tail as a possible universal feature for jammed systems and supercooled fluids exhibiting dynamical heterogeneities. Common idea about this tail is that it corresponds to jumps of particles out of their cage. In our case, displacement of the particles in the tail remains largely lower than the average inter particle distance ($L = 23\, \mu m$), so jumping particles remain confined in their cage. This is also compatible with what has been previously seen in silica glass or Lennard-Jones mixtures [16].

Another interesting fact is that in $\frac{N_{cr}(R)}{\pi R^2}$ for $\Gamma = 338$, the Gaussian central parts seem to be very similar for all times. We have checked (data not shown) that if we subtract $\frac{N_{cr}(R)}{\pi R^2}$ taken at time $t = 50\, s$ from its counterparts after $t = 100\, s$ we obtain in all cases curves corresponding to a pure exponential decay (except at very low $r$ for which uncertainty is too high). For low temperatures the residual motion belonging to the Gaussian part of the cage relative Van Hove function is that of a particle being trapped in a potential minimum created by its neighbors. The standard deviation of the Gaussian probability distribution of positions of the particle increases soon (within the $\beta$-relaxation regime) towards an asymptotic value. This nicely demonstrates the validity of the cage picture of mode coupling theory. Any further increase of cage relative MSD may correspond to jumps (or hopping process) of the particles, indicated by the tail of the cage relative self-part of the Van Hove function. This image is consistent with improvements of MCT theory developed to describe hopping processes (see [27] for instance).

Differences with classical self-part of the Van Hove function are obvious: jumps of particles which may be hidden by collective motion are visible in the cage relative version. This way, they are already visible in the plateau regime where collective unidirectional motion (included in the measure of the classical MSD) may hide them.

In fig. 6 a typical trajectory of a big particle for $\Gamma = 390$ is plotted. The particle belongs to the 5% fastest particles and therefore to the tail of the cage relative self-part of the Van Hove function for $t = 113000\, s$. It shows a clear intra-cage hopping process. During early times the particle remains confined around its initial position and explores the cage. After this phase of exploration the particle performs a jump which takes about $t_{jump} \approx 200\, s$ and starts a new cage exploration around this position. The distance $d_{jump} \approx 10\, \mu m$ performed during the jump is much smaller than the inter particle distance so the jump cannot be explained simply by a jump from one cage to another but must be a more subtle phenomenon. This behavior was observed previously both in simulations [16], and experiments [10,28]. As noticed in refs. [16] and [10], the jump duration is very small compared to the time needed for a cage exploration. The nature of this jumping process is still under debate and many authors [9,16] invoke cooperative motion of the particles forming the cage to justify displacement smaller than the average inter particle distance.

Conclusion. – In this work we have developed a new analysis tool to provide evidence of two different kinds of motion in an experimental 2D glass former. The use of the cage relative mean square displacement (CR-MSD) allowed us to identify a typical cage dynamics of the particles and dynamical heterogeneities are much more pronounced. In the short time limit, particles perform free diffusion until they start to feel the neighboring particles in the supercooled stage. In regard to the cage made by the nearest neighbors, particles behave like Brownian particles in a potential minimum corresponding to the plateau in the MSD. Most particles remain blocked inside the cage, while a few of them start to make some hopping process already in the plateau regime. The tail of the cage relative self-part of the Van Hove function, which corresponds to hopping processes becomes significant in the $\alpha$-relaxation regime. But comparing the length scales of the
inter-particle distance and the plateau height of the MSD, one finds that most of the fast particles do not completely leave their neighborhood. This dynamical process, despite the fact that it does not present any large string motion as in ref. [3,9], may correspond to cooperative rearrangements which are seen in most 3D systems. In addition to this, the difference between the classical MSD and the cage relative MSD has shown the presence of an important collective motion of particles with their cage which is especially large in the plateau and the \( \alpha \)-relaxation regime. This collective motion hides the contribution of the classical cage dynamics of the particles to the MSD and, looking at trajectories of fig. 2, is expected to have characteristic length scale of several cage sizes.
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